



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/080,488	02/22/2002	Henry Esmond Butterworth	GB919990129US1	8490
7590 08/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Brian C. Kunzler			BRAGDON, REGINALD GLENWOOD	
10 West 100 South Salt Lake City, UT 84101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Bake Chy,	0.1 0.1.01		2188	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

AUG 2 5 2004

Technology Center 2100

JEST AVAILABLE COPY

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/080,488	BUTTERWORTH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Reginald G. Bragdon	2188	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires ___ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 July 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\times \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \times they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\subseteq \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, first paragraph, rejection of claim 11. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____ Claim(s) objected to: _____ Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____ 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Kegundel D. Braglon

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Reginald G. Bragdon Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2188

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the independent claims would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are not commensurate with the scope of the claims and do not address the portions of the references actually relied upon by the Examiner.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY